## Accountability Committee Meeting

## December 2, 2021

Attendees: Meghann Persenaire, Caitlin Robisch, Maureen Higgins, Bianca Pert, Kristopher John, Jennifer Reynolds, Sarah Yan

Purpose of the meeting: Earlier this year the Board decided that the Accountability Committee should meet in December, February and June to conduct a deeper dive into academic data, with a specific focus on subgroups such as students with Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) and English Language Learners (ELLs).

Overall assessment: We didn't see the same growth that we have in the past, but we are encouraged that the performance data has been relatively stable and not showed much decline. Pre-pandemic our story was that St HOPE outperformed on all fronts, and we want to continue to demonstrate that.

Meghann shared an NWEA MAP Interim I Analysis for the class of 2022.

- For the class of 2022, there are $918^{\text {th }}$ grade students. Of these 24 are students with IEPs and 12 are ELLs.
- Of the 24 students with IEPs, 2 are also ELLs.
- When this cohort came to St. HOPE in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade, their average ELA RIT was 201 and $24 \%$ were at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile in ELA. Now, in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, their average RIT is 209 and $30 \%$ are at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile. This is not the typical growth we see when kids are with us for three years, but it is still growth.
- We did not see the same level of growth for math.
- In our previous charter term (2017, 2018, 2019), our students with IEPs and ELLs typically outperformed the district in both ELA and math.

Testing Cycle I NWEA and Interim I Analysis
Class of 2022: September - November

| Overall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA MAP Assessment |  | ELA Interim I |  | Math MAP Assessment |  | Math Interim I |  |
| Avg RIT | 209 | @ or + 67 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 44\% | Avg RIT | 209 | @ or $+65^{\text {th }}$ | 9\% |
| @ or + 5 $\mathrm{K}^{\text {ch }}$ | 30\% | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 3\% | @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 16\% | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 14\% |
| Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 32\% |  |  | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 47\% |  |  |


| SWIEPs \| $\mathrm{N}=24$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA MAP Assessment |  | ELA Interim I |  | Math MAP Assessment |  | Math Interim I |  |
| $\mathrm{N}=24$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=22$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=24$ |  |
| Avg RIT | 204.7 | @ or $+67^{\text {th }}$ | 6 of 22 | Avg RIT | 200.6 | @ or $+65^{\text {h }}$ | 0 of 24 |
| @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 3 of 24 | Below 20th | 0 of 22 | @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 0 of 23 | Below 20th | 6 of 24 |
| Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 8 of 24 |  |  | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 15 of 23 |  |  |


| ELLs \| $\mathrm{N}=12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA MAP Assessment |  | ELA Interim I |  | Math MAP Assessment |  | Math Interim I |  |
| $\mathrm{N}=11$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=12$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=11$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=12$ |  |
| Avg RIT | 197.5 | @ or $+67^{\text {th }}$ | 1 of 12 | Avg RIT | 201 | @ or $+65^{\text {th }}$ | 0 of 12 |
| @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 2 of 11 | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 1 of 12 | @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 0 of 11 | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 of 12 |
| Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 8 of 11 |  |  | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 8 of 11 |  |  |

Meghann shared an NWEA MAP Interim I Analysis for the class of 2023.

- For the class of 2023, there are approximately $1017^{\text {th }}$ grade students. Of these 28 are students with IEPs and 16 are ELLs.
- This cohort came to us during the pandemic, and many we did not see in person until this year.
- $\quad$ In 2019, the $7^{\text {th }}$ grade cohort was at $32 \%$ at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile; the current $7^{\text {th }}$ grade cohort is not that much lower at $29 \%$ at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

Testing Cycle I NWEA and Interim I Analysis
Class of 2023: September - November

| Overall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA MAP Assessment |  | ELA Interim I |  | Math MAP Assessment |  | Math Interim I |  |
| Avg RIT | 202 | @ or + 67 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 35\% | Avg RIT | 202 | @ or $+65^{\text {th }}$ | 38\% |
| @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 29\% | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 5\% | @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 11\% | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 7\% |
| Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 41\% |  |  | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 57\% |  |  |


| SWIEPs \| $\mathrm{N}=28$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA MAP Assessment |  | ELA Interim I |  | Math MAP Assessment |  | Math Interim I |  |
| $\mathrm{N}=28$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=28$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=26$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=26$ |  |
| Avg RIT | 187.1 | @ or $+67^{\text {th }}$ | 3 of 28 | Avg RIT | 191.3 | @ or $+65^{\text {th }}$ | 2 of 26 |
| @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 4 of 28 | Below 20th | 28 | @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 0 of 26 | Below 20th | 5 of 26 |
| Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 20 of 28 |  |  | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 22 of 26 |  |  |


| ELLs \| $\mathrm{N}=16$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA MAP Assessment |  | ELA Interim I |  | Math MAP Assessment |  | Math Interim I |  |
| $\mathrm{N}=12$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=15$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=15$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=16$ |  |
| Avg RIT | 195.9 | @ or $+67^{\text {h }}$ | 0 of 15 | Avg RIT | 199.2 | @ or $+65^{\text {th }}$ | 4 of 16 |
| @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 1 of 12 | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 0 of 15 | @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 2 of 15 | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 0 of 16 |
| Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 7 of 12 |  |  | Below $0^{\text {0 }}$ ¢ | 10 of 15 |  |  |

Meghann shared an NWEA MAP Interim I Analysis for the class of 2024.

- For the class of 2024, there are approximately $696^{\text {th }}$ grade students. Of these 16 are students with IEPs and 10 are ELLs.
- This is a small cohort.
- These numbers show us that we are not too far off the mark. There are encouraging signs.
- In 2019, $24 \%$ of incoming $6^{\text {th }}$ graders were at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile in ELA and $11 \%$ were at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile in math. We actually have more $6^{\text {th }}$ graders that are at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile now in both ELA and math.

Testing Cycle I NWEA and Interim I Analysis
Class of 2024: September - November

| Overall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA MAP Assessment |  | ELA Interim I |  |  | Math MAP Assessment |  | Math Interim I |  |
| Avg RIT | 200 | @ or $+67^{\text {th }}$ | I | 38\% | Avg RIT | 197 | @ or $+65^{\text {th }}$ | 22\% |
| Avg \% | NA |  |  |  | Avg \% | NA |  |  |
| @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 34\% | Below 20th |  | 6\% | @ or + 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 15\% | Below 20th | 6\% |
| Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 34\% |  |  |  | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 57\% |  |  |


| SWIEPs \| $\mathrm{N}=16$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA MAP Assessment |  | ELA Interim I |  | Math MAP Assessment |  | Math Interim I |  |
| $\mathrm{N}=14$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=15$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=15$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=16$ |  |
| Avg RIT | 191.9 | @ or $+67^{\text {th }}$ | 6 of 14 | Avg RIT | 191.9 | @ or $+65^{\text {th }}$ | 0 of 16 |
| @ or + 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 1 of 14 | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 1 of 14 | @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 1 | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 3 of 16 |
| Below 20th | 8 of 14 |  |  | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 12 |  |  |


| ELLs \| $\mathrm{N}=10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA MAP Assessment |  | ELA Interim I |  | Math MAP Assessment |  | Math Interim I |  |
| $N=6$ |  | $N=7$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=9$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=9$ |  |
| Avg RIT | 187.5 | @ or $+67^{\text {th }}$ | 2 of 7 | Avg RIT | 185.4 | @ or $+65^{\text {th }}$ | 1 of 9 |
| @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 0 of 6 | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 of 7 | @ or $+50^{\text {th }}$ | 0 of 9 | Below 20th | 1 of 9 |
| Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 3 of 6 |  |  | Below 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 7 of 9 |  |  |

What data would be helpful to have in the future?

- Standard deviation (hoping to get a sense of the margin of error)
- Comparison data against the district
- Comparisons against previous years for the same cohort
- Comparisons against previous years for the same grade level
- Benchmarks or goals that were set at the beginning of the year (if any)
- NYS assessment data (we know that it is not yet being used for accountability purposes, but 2021 is the new baseline)

